

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/927,616	Applicant(s) TRIPLETT ET AL.	
	Examiner Georgia L. Helmer	Art Unit 1638	

All Participants:

(1) David T. Fox.

(2) Sara Vinarov.

Date of Interview: 9 February 2005

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: amendment after final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative was notified of delay in handling after final amendment of 15 November 2004, and was informed that a 3-month extension of time and a Notice of Appeal must be filed by February 12, 2005 in order to keep the application alive.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)